

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,669	07/25/2003	Kenji Yamamoto	0171-0955P	1825	
2292 759	00 10/04/2004	;	EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			ZIMMER, MARC S		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712		
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/626,669	YAMAMOTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marc S. Zimmer	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute. Cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	July 2003.					
	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner					
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Copies of the continue of the priority documer	nts have been received in Ap	oplication No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea 	ority documents have been i	received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received				
	and doranda dopies Hot I	ooneu.				
Attach = aut(a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	∧ □	(272 440)				
Police of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	3) 5) 🔲 Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademork Office.	6)	_·				

Art Unit: 1712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Meguriya et al., JP 2003-055553. The abstract of this document discloses each of materials recited in claim 1. The preferred particle size of the silicone rubber particles is 0.5 to 40 microns (paragraph 23) and it is added in an amount corresponding to 10 to 150 parts by weight relative to 100 parts by weight of the base polymer (paragraph 27).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kleyer et al., U.S. patent # 6,017,587. Kleyer discloses an electrically-conductive organopolysiloxane composition comprising all of

Art Unit: 1712

the materials recited in the abstract. Relevant to the quantity and particle size limitations associated with component (C) of the instant invention, Kleyer states that the precrosslinked elastomer particles are added in an amount corresponding to 10 to 150 parts of (A) and (B) and possess a preferred average particle size of 0.5 to 300 microns.

It is acknowledged that the particle size range disclosed in the reference is more than an order of magnitude greater than that claimed by Applicant hence the issue of whether Applicant's range is disclosed with sufficient specificity arises. In this connection, it is noted that all of the Examples teach the utilization of silicone rubber particulates having an average particle size of approximately 25 microns. Though this figure is outside the stipulated range, it serves to illustrate that rubber particles in the lower end of the 0 to 300 micron range are useful in the practice of Kleyer's invention. The utilization of particles that are close in size to those employed in the Examples but adhere to Applicant's range may be readily envisioned. Accordingly, the invention is at least obvious if not anticipated.

It is further acknowledged that Applicant has set forth the critical nature of the upper limit of the particle size of (C) at the top of page 7. However, this limit only appears to be critical where the rubber particles are coated (with the polysilsesquioxane) and this aspect of the invention is not contemplated in claim 1.

Priority

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Art Unit: 1712

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Silsesquioxane-coated silicone rubber particles are known and widely used in the cosmetics art but there is no teaching in the prior art expressly motivating one of ordinary skill to pretreat the elastomer particles taught by the references cited herein. Claim 2 is allowable insofar as the Examiner could not locate a system analogous to that disclosed in claim 1 wherein a silanol-functionalized polysiloxane replaces the alkenyl group-functionalized polysiloxane.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc S. Zimmer whose telephone number is 571-272-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1712

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 29, 2001

Mare Zmmer AV 1712